Market Abuse Case Studies

JP Morgan Spoofing in Treasuries and Precious Metal Futures

Market Abuse Case Study No: 002

Name: JP Morgan Chase & Co.

Offence: Spoofing in US Treasuries and Precious Metal Futures **Detection Control:** MAST Layering/Spoofing Metric

- TradingHub

Market Abuse Case Study No: 002

Name:

JP Morgan Chase & Co.

Civilian Authority:

Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC); U.S Department of Justice

Offence:

Spoofing in US Treasuries and Precious Metal Futures

Detection Control: MAST Layering/Spoofing Metric

Findings:

The "Subject UST Traders placed Deceptive UST Orders with the intent to fraudulently and artificially move the price of a given U.S. Treasuries Product".

JP Morgan Spoofing in Treasuries and Precious Metal Futures

Key Facts

In 2020, the CFTC fined JP Morgan \$920 million for manipulating the price of precious metal and US. Treasury futures contracts via an unlawful spoofing scheme.

The CFTC alleged that from at least 2008 through 2016, numerous traders at JP Morgan from its precious metals and Treasuries trading desks "placed hundreds of thousands of spoof orders in precious metals and U.S. Treasury futures contracts on the Commodity Exchange, Inc. (COMEX), the New York Mercantile Exchange, and the Chicago Board of Trade."

The traders would place one or more spoof orders on one side of the market whilst simultaneously placing one or more genuine orders on the opposite side. The spoof orders created an imbalance in the order book between the resting buy and sell quantities.

This skew misled other market participants into believing there was more interest in buying or selling the futures contract. As a result, the price would move in a direction that benefitted the traders' genuine orders on the other side of the market. The traders would then cancel their spoof orders before execution.

In its statement, the CFTC concluded that "through these spoof orders, the traders intentionally sent false signals of supply or demand designed to deceive market participants into executing against other orders they wanted filled."

Court Findings

The District of Connecticut found that both JP Morgan's precious metals and Treasuries trading desks "intended to inject false and misleading information about the genuine supply and demand" for both precious metals futures and U.S. Treasuries "into the markets, and to deceive other participants in those markets into believing something untrue, namely that the visible order book accurately reflected market-based forces of supply and demand."

"This false and misleading information was intended to, and at times did, trick other market participants, including competitor financial institutions and proprietary traders, into reacting to the apparent change and imbalance in supply and demand by buying and selling" precious metals futures contracts and U.S. Treasuries "at quantities, prices, and times that they otherwise likely would not have traded."

JP Morgan placed deceptive orders "with the intent to fraudulently and artificially move the price" of a given precious metals futures contract or U.S. Treasury "in a manner that would increase the likelihood that one or more of their opposite side... orders would be filled by other market participants, allowing the... traders to generating profits and avoid losses for themselves and other members of the... desk itself, and ultimately, JPMS and the Company."

As a result, the court charged JP Morgan with two counts of wire fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343. Under the resulting plea agreement, JP Morgan agreed to pay \$920 million comprising disgorgement, victim compensation and a criminal fine.

Detecting spoofing with MAST

On December 3rd 2015, Trader A placed a spoof sell order for 100 Ultrabond futures contract to benefit a genuine order on the other side of the order book.



1. At 11:42:18.223, Trader A entered a genuine iceberg order to buy 30 Ultrabond futures contracts.

- **2.** The order went unfilled for around half a minute.
- **3.** At 11:42:49.203, the trader entered a spoof order to sell 100 Ultrabond futures contracts.
- **4.** Almost immediately at 11:42:49.212, the genuine order was filled in its entirety.
- **5.** Only six seconds later at 11:42:55.282, the trader cancelled their spoof order.

TR JPI	M_4 (TEST / TEST)					₫‡‡.	- 🗗 🗙
Summary for JPM_4 (TEST / TEST) × Data for JPM_4 (TEST / TEST) × Cross-Product Layering/Spoofing by ×							
Expand ►	Activity Graphs	× Orders	×	Trades	× Ti 3		×
	🔁 Event Date	😴 Time Delay (ms) 🛛	🔁 Event Details	🔁 Instrument	₴ Event USDValue	😴 Event Amount	
	2022-05-16 11:42:18.223	0.00	Order 'JPM_4_01' Placed	Ultra T-Bond M2022 Futu	-3.05		30.00
1	2022-05-16 11:42:49.203	30,980.00	Order 'JPM_4_02' Placed	Ultra T-Bond M2022 Futu	15.84		-100.00
	2022-05-16 11:42:49.212	9.00	Trade 'T_JPM_4_01' Exe	Ultra T-Bond M2022 Futu	15.84		30.00
	2022-05-16 11:42:49.212	0.00	Order 'JPM_4_01' Execu	Ultra T-Bond M2022 Futu	-3.05		30.00
2	2022-05-16 11:42:55.282	6,070.00	Order 'JPM_4_02' Cance	Ultra T-Bond M2022 Futu	15.84		-100.00
	5 rows loaded. Last Update:	2023-05-03 15:38:05 UTC					•
Start				15:38 UTC	Explore Products	© ?	

- 1. The trader entered their spoof order at 11:42:49.203. Almost immediately, their genuine order was filled.
- **2.** Only six seconds later at 11:42:55.282 the trader cancelled their spoof order.
- **3.** MAST calculates a severity score for each trade and order. In this instance, it has assigned the large spoof order a score of **\$15.84**.

For a walkthrough of spoofing in US Treasury markets, please see the related case studies of Tyler Forbes and NatWest Markets.

How MAST recognises spoofing

MAST's Layering/Spoofing metric detects spoofing by measuring the degree to which the market impact of a potential spoof order benefits any transactions on the other side of the market. It balances this benefit by also considering the risk to the trader of placing a spoof order, namely the cost to them of having to unwind an unwanted execution.

By measuring market impact, MAST is able to recognise that the trader's spoof sell order for 100 Ultrabond futures contracts exerted downwards pressure on the price from which their resting buy order on the other side of the order book benefitted. It derives a USD Value to capture the severity of the instance. This lets users prioritise the most serious cases of spoofing for investigation.

How MAST detects cross-product abuse

MAST's general market modelling allows the system to understand how positions across a combination of instruments (bonds, futures, swaps etc...) and across a series of maturities are all linked and can share sensitivities to common factors – like the shape of convenience curve and the price of the spot for commodities, or the shape of the interest rate curve for rates.

Should the traders have used a mix of different futures contracts, MAST would have remained capable of detecting the abuse. To do so, MAST recognises that the value of each Treasury is sensitive to changes in the dollar yield curve. It therefore links the trades and orders together through the hypothetical market impact they have on this yield curve.

THE CHALLENGES OF FIXED INCOME MARKET SURVEILLANCE SERIES

In **Part One**, we explore why fixed income is different, particularly in relation to cross-product abuse.

In **Part Two**, we explore market manipulation and how we might use market impact models to address the challenges of fixed income market surveillance. In **Part Three**, we discuss market abuse utilising multiple products, also known as cross-product abuse, with a focus on the fixed income asset class.

+ TradingHub

Advance your surveillance function

Detect cross-product abuse, reduce false positives, and prioritise high-risk alerts.

Reach out to learn more.

- tradinghub.com/MAST
- tradesurveillance@tradinghub.com

Legal Disclaimer

Copyright © 2024 TradingHub Group Limited, a private limited company registered in England under company registration number 07382307 and registered address at 21st Floor, Broadgate Tower, 20 Primrose Street, LondonEC2A 2EW. TradingHub Group Limited, and if applicable, a TradingHub group company (together "TradingHub"), are providing this document to the addressee("Addressee") under the following strict conditions:

This document contains confidential information and is intended solely for the Addressee

You will be an Addressee if you have received this document from TradingHub (or your receipt of it has been approved by TradingHub).

If you are not the Addressee or you have otherwise received this email in error, please notify us by contacting legal@tradinghub.com, immediately and permanently delete this document and do not use, copy or disclose the information contained in this document.

This document is being provided to you solely for information purposes. Nothing expressed or implied in this document is intended to create legal relations between TradingHub and an Addressee (or any third party).

TradingHub is the owner or the licensee of all intellectual property rights in this document. Those rights are protected by copyright laws and treaties around the world. All such rights are reserved.

You are not permitted to directly or indirectly (including any attempt to) reverse engineer or decompile anything contained in this document, any part thereof or any information contained therein.

You are not permitted to share this document with any other person, without prior written permission from TradingHub (by email shall suffice).

This document is being provided to you on an "as is" basis. TradingHub (and its third party licensors) do not make any representations, warranties or guarantees, whether expressed or implied, as to the accuracy, completeness or timeliness of this document (or any part thereof), or as to any results obtained or inferred by an Addressee or any third party, and shall not in anyway be liable to an Addressee or any third party for any inaccuracies, errors or omissions herein.

TradingHub (and its third party licensors) do not have an obligation to update, modify or amend this document or to otherwise notify an Addressee or any third party in the event that any matter stated herein, or any opinion, projection, forecast or estimate set forth herein, changes or subsequently becomes inaccurate.

TradingHub (and its third party licensors) do not have any liability whatsoever to an Addressee or any third party, whether in contract (including under an indemnity), in tort (including negligence), under a warranty, under statute or otherwise, even if foreseeable, in respect of any loss or damage suffered by it as a result of or in connection with: (a) any opinions, recommendations, forecasts, judgements, or any other conclusions, or any course of action determined, by it (or any third party), whether or not based on this document; or (b) use of or reliance on this document.