

Market Abuse Case Studies

HSBC Primary Market Manipulation

Market Abuse Case Study No: 003	Name: HSBC Bank USA, N.A.
Alleged Offence: Primary Market Manipulation in Issuer Swaps	Detection Control: Front Running V2 (Deal Specific Facilitation)

 TradingHub

HSBC Primary Market Manipulation

Market Abuse Case Study No:
003

Name:
HSBC Bank USA, N.A.

Civilian Authority:
Commodity Futures Trading
Commission (CFTC)

Alleged Offence:
Primary Market Manipulation in
Issuer Swaps

Detection Control:
Front Running V2 (Deal Specific
Facilitation)

Overview

On the 12th of May 2023, the CFTC filed details of proceedings against HSBC Bank USA, N.A., which alleges that HSBC engaged in or attempted to engage in multiple cases of market manipulation and deceptive trading practices between March 2012 and 2015.

In each case, the alleged misconduct relates to activity in primary markets, particularly interest rate swaps that HSBC traded with bond issuers.

In response to the proceedings, HSBC made an Offer of Settlement, which the CFTC accepted.

CFTC Grounds for Proceedings

In each case, a bond issuer executed a large interest rate swap with HSBC as a hedge against interest rate movements (an “issuer swap”) (thereby swapping fixed interest bond coupon payments into floating rate payments to HSBC). The CFTC asserts that, in each case, HSBC traded in advance of the issuer swap to intentionally move the market price and thereby execute the issuer swap at a more favourable level to HSBC.

The CFTC considers this activity to be a form of market manipulation and is in breach of multiple sections of both the Commodity Exchange Act and Commission Regulations.

Transaction Details and Alleged Harm

The CFTC accepted HSBC’s Offer of Settlement and has therefore not published precise details of HSBC’s trading activity. However, the CFTC has described the pattern of transactions and alleged harm to the customer that occurred.

The pricing of an issuer swap typically involves a pricing call where traders from the bank providing the issuer swap will quote the current prices of the relevant financial instruments. The prices quoted during the pricing call are then used to determine prices for the bond and the issuer swap.

For a U.S. dollar bond issue and related interest rate swap, the relevant prices include:

- U.S. Treasuries (the yield of an equivalent maturity bond).
- Swap Spreads (differential of the swap rate vs. U.S. Treasury yield of equivalent maturity).
- Basis Swaps (the rate payable on a swap translating Libor of one tenor for another).

The source of the live prices used are pre-agreed and are usually quoted from screens published by broker firms. These screens display prices from the relevant broker firm, and trades executed through the relevant broker firm can affect the prices displayed on the screen.

The CFTC alleges that when HSBC traders sought to manipulate the profitability of issuer swaps, their activity would follow a distinct pattern. First, HSBC’s traders would listen in on the pricing call to gauge exactly when HSBC would be quoting the prices of the relevant products. Next, shortly before the crucial moment at which HSBC would be asked to quote the price of the relevant financial product, the traders who were listening into the pricing call would trade with the relevant broker. Their

focus would be on moving the screen price ahead of the moment that HSBC quoted the price to the customer.

The CFTC goes on to describe high-level details of individual cases. In one case, they describe a trader who asked the broker to “sell a billion” and “hit ‘em all down” just before he was asked to quote the price of the relevant instrument on the pricing call. The CFTC did not provide details of the relevant instrument where the abuse occurred, but as described previously, relevant instruments include U.S. Treasuries, Swap Spreads and Basis Swaps.

Detecting Primary Market Manipulation in MAST

Whilst the CFTC has yet to publish full trade details, we have created an example case based on information known, and analysed the case in MAST. In this circumstance, 5-year U.S. Treasuries are used to manipulate the price of a \$2bn issuer swap with slightly longer maturity. The graph screenshot shows how MAST illustrates the changing risk position within the instance.



This scenario has been calculated and run through MAST. The graph screenshot shows how MAST illustrates the changing risk position within the instance.

1. The line graph and associated red markers for the trades show the trading activity prior to the execution of the issuer swap.
2. The victim trade is highlighted by a blue diamond when the issuer swap is executed at 15:00:00.

Show Relevant Trades <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Show All Trades					
Trade Date	Client Instrument ID	USDValue	Trade Designation	Amount	
2023-07-11 15:00:00.000	5yr USD DC Swap	3 1,186,512.78	Instance Client	-2,500,000,000.00	2
2023-07-11 14:59:16.158	BOND US91282CHK09 ...	234,234.34	Front Running	250,000,000.00	
2023-07-11 14:58:16.522	BOND US91282CHK09 ...	195,503.16	Front Running	150,000,000.00	
2023-07-11 14:58:00.000	BOND US91282CHK09 ...	152,302.00	Front Running	100,000,000.00	
2023-07-11 14:58:32.103	BOND US91282CHK09 ...	147,050.53	Front Running	125,000,000.00	
2023-07-11 14:58:10.234	BOND US91282CHK09 ...	106,846.00	Front Running	75,000,000.00	
2023-07-11 14:58:58.254	BOND US91282CHK09 ...	103,838.25	Front Running	100,000,000.00	
2023-07-11 14:59:24.670	BOND US91282CHK09 ...	84,264.11	Front Running	100,000,000.00	
2023-07-11 14:59:37.236	BOND US91282CHK09 ...	80,130.56	Front Running	100,000,000.00	
2023-07-11 14:58:44.469	BOND US91282CHK09 ...	54,435.98	Front Running	50,000,000.00	
2023-07-11 14:58:41.604	BOND US91282CHK09 ...	27,907.86	Front Running	25,000,000.00	

The trade screenshot shows how MAST illustrates the key trading activity within the instance.

1. Pre-hedging occurred via the purchase of US Treasuries.
2. The issuer swap was executed at 15:00:00.
3. MAST calculated the harm from the market impact of the pre-hedging on the issuer swap and assigned a materiality score of \$1,186,512.78.

How MAST Recognises Primary Market Manipulation

Where pre-hedging of a customer order is not permitted (for example, a related transaction in a primary market deal), MAST analyses the trader's activity prior to the execution of the customer's order. MAST uses its Market Impact Model (MIM) and General Market Model (GMM) to determine and quantify whether the trader's activity is likely to have affected the market and corresponding execution price of the customer order.

Where the trader's activity is expected to have affected the order execution price, MAST will express the gain to the trader as a USD Value. An alert will be generated when the materiality score (USD Value) exceeds a pre-set threshold amount.

MAST's market impact and general market models evaluate cross-product market impact (meaning that the impact of futures trades on swap market prices is covered). Furthermore, the evaluation of the market impact on the customer's order considers the timing and size of trades.

Advance your surveillance function

Detect cross-product abuse,
reduce false positives, and
prioritise high-risk alerts.

Reach out to learn more.



tradinghub.com/MAST



tradesurveillance@tradinghub.com

Legal Disclaimer

Copyright © 2024 TradingHub Group Limited, a private limited company registered in England under company registration number 07382307 and registered address at 21st Floor, Broadgate Tower, 20 Primrose Street, London EC2A 2EW. TradingHub Group Limited, and if applicable, a TradingHub group company (together "TradingHub"), are providing this document to the addressee ("Addressee") under the following strict conditions:

This document contains confidential information and is intended solely for the Addressee.

You will be an Addressee if you have received this document from TradingHub (or your receipt of it has been approved by TradingHub).

If you are not the Addressee or you have otherwise received this email in error, please notify us by contacting legal@tradinghub.com, immediately and permanently delete this document and do not use, copy or disclose the information contained in this document.

This document is being provided to you solely for information purposes. Nothing expressed or implied in this document is intended to create legal relations between TradingHub and an Addressee (or any third party).

TradingHub is the owner or the licensee of all intellectual property rights in this document. Those rights are protected by copyright laws and treaties around the world. All such rights are reserved.

You are not permitted to directly or indirectly (including any attempt to) reverse engineer or decompile anything contained in this document, any part thereof or any information contained therein.

You are not permitted to share this document with any other person, without prior written permission from TradingHub (by email shall suffice).

This document is being provided to you on an "as is" basis. TradingHub (and its third party licensors) do not make any representations, warranties or guarantees, whether expressed or implied, as to the accuracy, completeness or timeliness of this document (or any part thereof), or as to any results obtained or inferred by an Addressee or any third party, and shall not in anyway be liable to an Addressee or any third party for any inaccuracies, errors or omissions herein.

TradingHub (and its third party licensors) do not have an obligation to update, modify or amend this document or to otherwise notify an Addressee or any third party in the event that any matter stated herein, or any opinion, projection, forecast or estimate set forth herein, changes or subsequently becomes inaccurate.

TradingHub (and its third party licensors) do not have any liability whatsoever to an Addressee or any third party, whether in contract (including under an indemnity), in tort (including negligence), under a warranty, under statute or otherwise, even if foreseeable, in respect of any loss or damage suffered by it as a result of or in connection with: (a) any opinions, recommendations, forecasts, judgements, or any other conclusions, or any course of action determined, by it (or any third party), whether or not based on this document; or (b) use of or reliance on this document.